

Title of meeting: Traffic and Transportation Portfolio

Date of meeting: 13 December 2018

Subject: Revised Residents' Parking Programme of Consultation

Report by: Tristan Samuels, Director of Regeneration

Wards affected: All

Key decision: No

Full Council decision: No

1. Purpose of report

- 1.1** Following the Scrutiny Management Panel decision taken on 9 November 2018, the Residents' Parking Programme of Consultation approved on 31 July 2018 has been referred back to Cabinet Member Councillor Dowling (appointed by the Council Leader to take this decision) for reconsideration.

Appendix A: Revised Residents' Parking Programme of Consultation report to Traffic & Transportation (31 July 2018)

2. Recommendation:

For the Portfolio Holder to consider whether he wishes to reaffirm the previous decision taken on the 31st July 2018, which was that:

- (1) the progress on the previously approved Residents' Parking Programme was noted (September 2015 - June 2018);**
- (2) the revised Programme of Consultations with local residents, as set out in the report, was confirmed and consultation on each area listed be progressed.**

3. Background

- 3.1** The Residents' Parking Programme of Consultation was approved by Cllr Dowling at the Traffic & Transportation decision meeting held on 31 July 2018, as detailed above.

3.2 The decision was called in and referred to the Scrutiny Management Panel (SMP). The SMP resolved on 9 November 2018 that the matter be referred back to Councillor Dowling for reconsideration, on the grounds that -

The Panel had concerns about the changing boundaries of MB and MC zones subsequent to informal surveys and therefore considered the decision had been taken without adequate information.

4. Response to call-in

4.1 Information pertaining to the proposed consultation on MB and MC was available to Cllr Dowling, to enable him to make the decision on the 31st July 2018, and is summarised below.

- Change in the Zone Boundaries
 - Paragraph 4.3 in the 31st July 2018 Traffic & Transportation Portfolio Paper: Revised Residents' Parking Programme of Consultation, made clear that the zone boundaries had been changed, and therefore had not been subject to a further informal survey.
- Cllr Dowling had knowledge of the displacement that was experienced by residents within the extended boundary roads, as:
 - Paragraph 5.2 in the 31st July 2018 Traffic & Transportation Portfolio Paper: Revised Residents' Parking Programme of Consultation, took into account mitigating measures against parking displacement (i.e. where recorded interest in permit parking is currently minimal, but the previous impact of RPZs has been significant on adjacent areas);
 - The November 2015 Traffic & Transportation Portfolio Paper: MB and MC Parking zones was referenced in Background list of documents within the 31st July 2018 Traffic & Transportation Portfolio Paper: Revised Residents' Parking Programme of Consultation, detailing displacement parking and impact on adjacent areas.
- It was the current administrations known wishes for consultation on the MB/MC to commence. As such, the Administration had awareness of the issues, and these were referenced in the 31st July 2018 Cabinet Paper: Revised Residents' Parking Programme of Consultation, paragraph 3.1.

4.2 Further information was available to Cllr Dowling on the structure of consultation proposed for MB and MC zones, as summarised below:

- Informal consultation is not a statutory requirement; this is a discretionary part of the process, used to provide additional information to the decision maker (31st July 2018 Cabinet Paper: Revised Residents' Parking Programme of Consultation, paragraph 4.2.1).
- The Traffic Regulation Order statutory consultation can be used to reduce the geographic extent of the zone, but not increase it (31st July 2018 Cabinet Paper: Revised Residents' Parking Programme of Consultation, paragraph 4.7). Therefore, it included the roads that were excluded in previously.

5. Equality Impact Assessment (EIA)

An EIA is not required as the report does not put forward any proposals and therefore the recommendations do not have a disproportionate negative impact on any of the specific protected characteristics as described in the Equality Act 2010. Each subsequent new proposal will be subject to public consultation and a separate report that assesses any impact on the Equalities Groups.

6. Legal Implications

Referring a report back to the Cabinet Member for reconsideration of a previous report to Traffic and Transportation follows the local authority's "call-in" process and decision taken by the Scrutiny Management Panel on 9 November 2018.

7. Director of Finance's comments

7.1 As stated in the original Traffic and Transport Portfolio report dated the 31st July 2018 the financial implications of approving this revised programme are currently unknown. However more detail about the financial impact of the programme will be known as the extent, operation and impact of the individual Parking Zone schemes becomes clearer.

7.2 Each individual scheme will be introduced under a Traffic Regulation Order which will be required to be approved at the Traffic and Transport Portfolio decision meeting. A financial appraisal detailing the estimated financial impact of the scheme will be presented with each report, before the scheme is implemented.

7.3 Any additional costs as a result of introducing these schemes will be met from the On Street Budget and any income generated will be remitted back to the Off Street Parking Reserve. Whilst this has no effect on the City Council's Cash Limited budget it will affect the amount of money remitted to the reserve.

.....
Signed by:
Tristan Samuels
Director of Regeneration

Background list of documents: Section 100D of the Local Government Act 1972

The following documents disclose facts or matters, which have been relied upon to a material extent by the author in preparing this report:

Title of document	Location
Traffic & Transportation report, 31 July 2018: Revised Residents' Parking Programme of Consultation	Portsmouth City Council website (Traffic and Transportation meetings)

The recommendation(s) set out above were approved/ approved as amended/ deferred/
rejected by on

.....
Signed by:
Councillor Ben Dowling
Cabinet Member

(End of Report)